

APRIA 2014, Moscow, Russia  
July 28, 2014



# Developing Issues in Insurance Regulation Globally

W. Jean Kwon, Ph.D., CPCU  
E.A.G. Manton Chair Professor in International Insurance and Risk Management  
Director, the Center for the Study of Insurance Regulation  
School of Risk Management  
St. John's University

KwonW@stjohns.edu  
<http://facpub.stjohns.edu/~kwonw/>

© 2002-2014. All rights reserved



# Presentation Points

---

- **Key developments (capital and risk management)**
  - IAIS Insurance Core Principles
  - Solvency II
  - RBC
  - Own Risk and Solvency Assessment
  
- **Regulatory authority structures**
  
- **Insurance data collection and dissemination**
  - National and market levels
  
- **Concluding remarks**



# **Capital Requirements and (Enterprise/ORSA) Risk Management**

# IAIS Initiatives

---

- **Insurance Core Principles (ICPs), including:**
  - ICP 3 (Information Exchange)
    - Multilateral Memorandum of Understanding (MMoU)
    - Bilateral Memoranda of Understanding (MoU)
    - Supervisory colleges
  - ICP 9 & 16 (Supervisory Review/Reporting; ERM)
    - Risk-based approach to supervision, covering:
      - Financial statements
      - Data collection and dissemination
      - Market analyses, internal models, insurers' own risk and solvency assessments (ORSA)
    - Enterprise risk management

# IAIS Initiatives

---

## ▪ ICP (continued)

- ICP 14 & 15 (Valuation and Investment)
  - Substantial consistency in valuation methodology desirable, thus needing financial reporting standards
    - International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)
    - International Accounting Standards Board (IASB)
  - Stress and scenario testing
- ICP 20 (Public Disclosure)
  - Disclosure of relevant, comprehensive and adequate information timely
  - Information presented in any generally accepted national and international standards and practices so as to aid comparisons between insurers

# IAIS Capital Requirements

---

- **Global Systemically Important Insurers (G-SIIs)**
  - Two requirements
    - Basic Capital Requirements (BCR) by November 2014
    - Requirement for Higher Loss Absorption (HLA) by yearend 2015
  - Application to G-SIIs from 2019

# Solvency II

---

## ■ Pillar 1

- Adequate financial resources to meet all liabilities
- Solvency Capital Requirement (**SCR**) covering 12 months with a 99.5% probability
- Minimum Capital Requirement (**MCR**) as a floor to cover risks

## ■ Pillar 2

- Governance and risk management framework → **ORSA** for 3-5 years
- Measurement of risks against which capital must be held

## ■ Pillar 3

- Disclosure, reporting and transparency requirements regarding capital and risks

## ▪ Risk-Based Capital (RBC)

- The minimum capital to support the insurer's overall business operations in consideration of its size and risk profile

## ▪ NAIC Solvency Modernization Initiative (SMI)

- In response to ORSA developments but relatively non-descriptive
- Focused on capital requirements, governance and risk management, group supervision, statutory accounting and financial reporting and reinsurance
- Insurers required to conduct it from 2015
  - Insurers (annual direct written premiums > \$500M)
  - Groups (annual direct written & assumed premiums > \$1B)



# Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA)

---

- Promoted by the IAIS and likely accepted globally
- Insurer's own assessment of its current and future risks through an internal process , demonstrating its ability to withstand financial stress.
- Variations in approaches and application



# **Regulatory Authorities**

# Structural Differences I

---

## ▪ Government agency

- Commonly as part of Finance/Commerce Ministry
- For example: Belgium (Office de Contrôle des Assurances), Argentina (Superintendencia de Seguros de la Nacion) and Nepal (Insurance Board)

## ▪ Quasi-government agency

- Quasi-independent and not part of a Ministry
- For example: India (Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority)

# Structural Differences II

---

- **Single agency for the entire financial services sector**
  - For example: UK (**previously**, Financial Services Authority) and Japan (Financial Services Agency)
- **Single agency for selected industries**
  - For example: Australia (Prudential Regulatory Authority) and Peru (Superintendencia de Banca y Seguros)
- **Central bank as regulator/supervisor**
  - For example: monetary authorities

# Structural Differences III

---

## ▪ Twin peaks

- Separation of prudential concerns from financial examination and market conduct regulation
- Frequently the central bank as a prudential body but exceptions exist
  - For example: UK (**now**, Financial Conducts Authority (FCA) and Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA))

## ▪ Kwon (2013)

- A negative impact of the single agency structure
- A positive impact of regulation by two or more agencies in the life insurance market



# Insurance Data Issues

# Insurance Data/Statistics Issues I

---

- Scope and quality of data
  - Collection cost incurred by the regulator
  - Compliance cost incurred by the regulated
  
- Dissemination
  - Raw data vs. summary data
  - Hardcopy vs. online
  - Consistency across countries

# Insurance Data/Statistics Issues II

---

- **Timeliness in data collection**
  
- **Scope and quality of data**
  - Collection cost incurred by the regulator
  - Compliance cost incurred by the regulated
  
- **Dissemination**
  - Raw data vs. summary data
  - Hardcopy vs. online
  - **Consistency across countries**



# Public Data Accuracy: Asian Countries

## Number of Licensed Insurance Companies

	AXCO as of September 10, 2010					ISIS as of September 2010					Government and Association					
	L	N	C	R	TOTAL	L	N	C	R	TOTAL	L	N	C	R	TOTAL	
Bangladesh	21	44	0	0	65	60	16	44	0	120	19	43			62	
Brunei Darussalam	3	5	3	0	11	2	1	1	0	4	No Subtotal Provided					
Bhutan	N/A					N/A							2			2
Cambodia	0	5	0	0	5	6	0	6	0	12		5		1	6	
China	56	47		9	112	122	62	58	2	244	61	52		8	121	
Hong Kong	46	107	19	0	172	102	22	78	2	204	45	92	14	19	170	
India	23	22	1		46	46	22	24	0	92	23	24			47	
Indonesia	45	90	0	4	139	47	7	40	0	94	46	28		4	78	
Japan	45	27	0		72	116	62	54	0	232	47	28		2	77	
Korea	22	23	0	0	45	39	16	23	0	78	23	21		9	53	
Laos	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2		5			5	
Macau	N/A				0	8	3	5	0	16	11	12		11	12	
Malaysia	9	24	18	7	58	70	11	44	15	140	9	24	7	7	47	
Mongolia	1	15			16	N/A				0		5			5	
Myanmar	1				1	N/A				0		2			2	
Nepal	8	16	1	0	25	17	4	13	0	34	9	16			25	
Pakistan	10	30		1	41	44	7	37	0	88	9	59			68	
Philippines	32	87	3	1	123	125	34	90	1	250	30	81	4	1	116	
Singapore	18	45	5	23	91	44	9	33	2	88	11	45	6	25	87	
Sri Lanka	1	4	14		19	19	3	6	10	38	2	5	11		18	
Taiwan	25	24		1	50	41	19	22	0	82	30	22		3	55	
Thailand	25	72			97	52	8	44	0	104	25	48			73	
Vietnam	11	27			38	8	0	8	0	16	10	24			34	
Total	402	715	64	46	1227	969	306	631	32	1938	410	643	42	80	1187	

- The findings are preliminary and subject to minor counting error. AXCO does not directly collect this information.
- **Bangladesh** (The life insurance data by AXCO includes three takaful companies.); **Brunei** (All composite insurers reported by AXCO are takaful companies.); **Japan** (The 27 companies by AXCO include 18 foreign branches and 3 insurance holding companies. AXCO also reports that there are 59 co-operative insurance carriers and 64 "short-term small-amount (SSI)" insurance carriers.); **Malaysia** (The reinsurance data by AXCO includes five nonlife insurers). **Pakistan** (AXCO quotes that eight for life insurance includes two health insurers. It also quotes two life and three nonlife takaful insurance companies.); and **Taiwan** (The number for nonlife includes one co-operative company.)
- Of the 969 companies it reports, the Fitch rating information is provided for 532 companies (or 54.9% of the sample).

# Data Collected from the Private Sector

## The ISIS Case – Data Availability Based on a Reporting Basis

Country	Total	Reporting Basis		Report Unavailable	Percentage Available
		Consolidated	Unconsolidated		
Bangladesh	60	1	13	46	23.3%
Brunei Darussalam	2	0	2	0	100.0%
Cambodia	6	0	2	4	33.3%
China	122	8	112	2	98.4%
Hong Kong	102	18	41	43	57.8%
India	46	1	15	30	34.8%
Indonesia	47	10	37	0	100.0%
Japan	116	27	74	15	87.1%
Korea	39	1	38	0	100.0%
Laos	1	0	1	0	100.0%
Macau	8	0	3	5	37.5%
Malaysia	70	15	52	3	95.7%
Nepal	17	0	0	17	0.0%
Pakistan	44	0	41	3	93.2%
Philippines	125	5	39	81	35.2%
Singapore	44	12	31	1	97.7%
Sri Lanka	19	6	9	4	78.9%
Taiwan	41	2	31	8	80.5%
Thailand	52	0	52	0	100.0%
Vietnam	8	2	6	0	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>73.0%</b>



## 2011 OECD Survey:

## 19 Asian Countries

Based on W. Jean Kwon  
(2012), OECD Asia Regional  
Expert Seminar in Bangkok,  
Thailand





## 2013 OECD Survey:

### 16 ASSAL Member Countries

Based on OECD (2013) at Latin American Seminar on Insurance Statistics, Montevideo, Uruguay

# Key Findings

## ▪ Key findings for improvement

- Timeliness
- Accuracy
- Data Collection efficiency
- Standardization
- Regional/Global coordination

## ▪ Suggestions

- Accounting principle and codification
- Data scope, insurer compliance, and quality control
- Technical and IT support

# OECD Global Insurance Statistics (GIS)

---

- **Being expanded to include non-OECD countries**
  - Hong Kong, Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore
  - South Africa
  - Brazil, Columbia, Costa Rica, Cuba, El Salvador, Guatemala, Nicaragua, Panama, Peru, Uruguay
  
- **To be revised for more variables**
  
- **A new round of survey in 2014**
  - Also to examine and understanding key statistical and financial methodologies governments use for insurance regulation/supervision
  - Expected to be available yearend 2014



**Concluding Remarks**

# Concluding Remarks

---

- **More layers of regulation**
  - Vertical (FIO vs. NAIC/states in the US)
  - Horizontal (intergovernmental and regional agencies)
    - IAIS, EIPOA, OECD, IMF, World Bank...
    - ASEAN for regional market initiatives in 2015
    - Asociación de Supervisores de Seguros de América (ASSAL)
  
- **Capital and risk management regulation**
  - Government-imposed and self-regulation
  
- **Insurance data/statistics**
  - Codification and standardization



**Thank You!**

